

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                     | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.   |  |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| 10/759,608  | 01/16/2004     | Alexander Miller     | 4452-596            | 8175               |  |
| 27799 75  | 590 01/13/2006 |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER           |  |
| COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE 551 FIFTH AVENUE |                |                      | WILLIAMS,           | WILLIAMS, THOMAS J |  |
| SUITE 1210  | ENGE           |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER       |  |
| NEW YORK,   | NY 10176       |                      | 3683                |                    |  |

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                                   |                      |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 10/759,608  | MILLER ET AL.                                  |                      |  |  |  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner  | Art Unit                                       |                      |  |  |  |
|  | Thomas J. Williams  | 3683   |                      |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add                             | ress                 |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| (d) $\square$ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1  | • • •   |  | (DTO) 204)           |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> </ul>   |   | ompiiant Amendment                             | (PTOL-324).          |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-12</u> . Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa<br>See 37 CFR 41.33(d)( | ils to provide a 1). |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper   | No(s)  |                      |  |  |  |

13. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>

Continuation of 3. NOTE: it is the opinion of the examiner that Foley has an isolating sleeve, one of either 46 or 56, with a radial guide surface, interpreted as the interior surfaces of the aforementioned sleeves. The radial guide surface contacts the sleeve section 42. The arguments regarding the curable material are more specific than the claim language. Element 64 is indicated as being an elastic or rubber material, which is normally cured during processing. Instant claim 13 merely requires the curable material, broadly interpreted as element 64, to partially fill the chamber, and in solid state provide support for the spring collar. During periods when the spring collar is fully retracted into space 50 the curable material 64 will in fact transmit a supporting force from the cylinder to the spring collar.

Continuation of 13. Other: The terminal disclaimer filed on November 14, 2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,767,010 and 6,854,722 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

TJW January 10, 2006

THOMAS J. WILLIAMS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Thomas Williams

AU 3683

1-10-06